

Evaluation of Physical TV Testing D3.3

Compliance of TVs and Monitors

with Energy Label and Ecodesign Requirements

Gergana Dimitrova, Johanna Emmerich, & Tom Lock September 2015



Contents

- Project Aims and Objectives
- Method
- Results
- Commentary
- Dissemination
- Remedy Actions





Project Aims and Objectives

The project aims at providing a fully-fledged and detailed methodological guidance to allow EU Member States and MSAs to face the new legislative and market challenges for TV sets in an effective and cost-efficient way. The project has the objectives of:

- Analysing the implication of the new Energy Labelling and Ecodesign Directive on the market surveillance activities by carrying out ad-hoc surveys
- Assessing the compliance of TV sets in the framework of the new Energy Labelling and Ecodesign regulations, through verification procedures.
- Improving the know-how and testing capability of laboratories with regard to the new and complex measurement method for measuring energy efficiency of TVs.
- Evaluating the outcomes of the product tests carried out and proposing corrective approaches to manufacturers and retailers.







Compliance of TVs and Monitors

with Energy Label and Ecodesign Requirements

Method



Method (1/6)

- Objective to test 201 TV units against the technical and information requirements of the EL & ED regs.
- Testing performed by the consortium partners: VDE, ipi and Re/genT
- Measurements made in line with IEC 62087 & EN 50564
- To provide clarity to the market, and to consult on process with the MSAs, it was necessary to set out and declare how the Consortium interpreted specific requirements – Testing Interpretation document





Method (2/6)

- A TV market analysis was conducted to prepare a product selection methodology; once finalised, these model lists were published online for all 4 batches
- As part of the selection process, the Consortium distinguished between A and non-A brands. A brands were defined as: LG, Panasonic, Philips, Samsung, Sony, TCL, Thomson and Toshiba
- The testing was divided into 4 batches: the first batch contained 60 units, the second 40, third 62 and the fourth 10 units. The remaining units were allocated for step 2 testing





Method (3/6)

- The first batch constituted 57 LCD TVs and 3 plasmas, with a split of 36 A and 24 non-A brands
- The screen sizes of the 60 models were split evenly between 4 size groups: <32", 32", 33-42", >42". These were the most commonly bought TVs between 2012-14, as a consequence models <16" and >55" were excluded.
- The Consortium were keen to take an intelligence led approach to model selection from batch to batch.
- The results of the first batch of testing were used to inform the model selection and targeting approach for batches 2 and 3.





Method (4/6)

- There was greater targeting of non-A brand TVs in batches 2 and 3 as they were found to have a higher instance of failures in batch 1.
- The proportion of A-brand to non-A brand TVs evolved:

Batch	Quantity	A brand	Non-A brand	% non-A brand
1	60	36	24	40
2	40	12	28	70
3	62	27	35	56
4	10	2	8	80
TOTAL	172	77	95	55





Method (5/6)

- The selection approach for batch 3 also incorporated the following aspects:
 - As a minimum, TVs could not be selected if they were placed on the market before May 2014
 - TV brands not already included in batches 1 & 2 were prioritised
 - Display technologies such as OLED, full/edge LED backlight, multiple tuners, 3D were included
 - Models with high EEC declarations were targeted A++/A+
 - Those models sold in more than one country were prioritised in order to expand the reach and impact of the project





Method (6/6)

Brands that failed the technical ecodesign requirements (batch 1-3) and where remedy actions were carried out form the 4th batch of models tested by ComplianTV:

10 TV models:

- 2 A-brands and 8 non-A brands
- 60% of the models are with high efficiency declaration (A+)







Compliance of TVs and Monitors

with Energy Label and Ecodesign Requirements

Results – Technical Requirements



Overall – Technical Pass/Fail (%)

Technical ecodesign requirements Batch 1, 2, 3 & 4



Sample size = 172





Co-funded by the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme of the European Union

Classification of Failure Type



Pass Fail

Sample size = 162. There were 10 products which could not be assessed for step 2 testing





Failure split by brand classification









Co-funded by the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme of the European Union

Sample size =
$$162$$
.

Failure split by price segment





Compliance split by price segment









Compliance of TVs and Monitors

with Energy Label and Ecodesign Requirements

Results – Information Requirements



Overall Pass/Fail (%) – Energy Labelling

Information requirements: Energy labelling Batch 1, 2, 3 & 4



An example of an information requirement under the TV energy labelling regulation would be the need for to have a product fiche available





Overall Pass/Fail (%) – Ecodesign

Information requirements: Ecodesign

Batch 1, 2, 3 & 4



An example of an information requirement under the TV ecodesign regulation would be the publically available information on websites





Overall Pass/Fail (%) – Energy Label Format

Energy label format requirements Batch 1, 2, 3 & 4



An example of a format failure is the label being produced in an incorrect format e.g. size, shape, colour.





Classification of Failure Type

Publicly available information Batch 1, 2, 3 & 4 Product fiche Batch 1, 2,3 & 4









Compliance of TVs and Monitors

with Energy Label and Ecodesign Requirements

Commentary



Step 1: all 172 TVs



Commentary

- There were 10 models that failed step 1 testing which could not go forward to step 2;
- In 9 of the 10 cases a further 3 models could not be sourced from the market (despite best endeavours).
- In one case, 3 further units were sourced, but arrived already open (suspected of having been re-sold)
- Of the 7 models that went to step 2 testing, 4 continued to be non-complaint and 3 cases became compliant.
- Nearly a third of the suspicious cases after step 1 testing were accepted by manufacturers, negating the cost for step 2 testing





Compliance of TVs and Monitors

with Energy Label and Ecodesign Requirements

Dissemination



Dissemination

- The dissemination of the results is many and varied
- All the MSAs have been written to with the results
- Each National Partner in the project will also either hold or speak at relevant, national events
- Further examples of dissemination are included in the proceeding slides, for example:
 - The database on the project's website www.complianTV.eu
 - On display at the ECEEE Summer Study in June 2015
 - During the project's final event in September







ComplianTV

Compliance of TVs with Energy Label and Ecodesign Requirements

НОМЕ
ABOUT THE PROJECT
PRODUCT TESTING
ENERGY LABEL DISPLAY
MORE ABOUT TV ENERGY CONSUMPTION
PRODUCT DATABASE
NEWS
SEARCH
CONTACT DISCLAIMER
Complian TV
Co funded by the intelligent Effergy Programme of the European Unite

- filter b	by brand name	•	- filter by country -			•	
Search i	in TV model name		filte	filter by efficiency class			
reset all filters			Compli	Compliance Status: ✓ — compliant × — n			
No. ¥	Brand name	Product	Countries where model is/was available on the market	Energy Effic (declared/	ciency Class measured)	Overall compliance	
1	Finlux	32F7020-T	UK	A	А	~	
2	Grundig	22 VLE 8220 BG	DE	В	В	*	
3	Hannspree	AD40UMBB / HSG1241	UK	А	A	*	
4	LG	47LM760S-ZB	ES	A+	A+	~	
5	LG	32LS5600-ZC	UK	A	A	~	
6	Medion	P14090 / MD21168	ES	А	В	×	
7	Odys	Base 24 / X800004	ES	A	A	No result 1	
8	Panasonic	TX-L47E5B	UK	A+	A+	*	
9	Philips	42PDL6907K/12	DE	A+	A+	~	
	0	11530564004144	50		D		









Compliance of TVs with Energy Label and Ecodesign Requirements

Final project event

3. September 2015 | Brussels, Rue de la Science, 14 B-1040, DigitalEurope







Compliance of TVs and Monitors

with Energy Label and Ecodesign Requirements

Remedy Actions



Remedy Action (1/2)

- All technical and information requirement noncompliances have been followed up with manufacturers and suppliers according to the remedy action procedure
- Remedy actions have either been:
 - Proposed by the manufacturer/supplier, reviewed by the Remedy Action Board, and either accepted or refined and then implemented; or
 - In the case of no response, been forwarded directly for action to the relevant MSA



Remedy Action (2/2)

- Remedy actions resulting from technical fails have been subject to inclusion within a batch 4 of testing – in order to verify the correct implementation of the action
- All the results of the remedy action procedure are published on the product database on the ComplianTV website







Compliance of TVs and Monitors

with Energy Label and Ecodesign Requirements

Thank you for your attention.

Contact and more information:

ComplianTV project <u>www.compliantv.eu</u> Gergana Dimitrova / TUB <u>gergana.dimitrova@izm.fraunhofer.de</u> Tom Lock / Energy Saving Trust <u>tom.lock@est.org.uk</u>

